metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Brian M. E. Markowitz,^a Mark M. Turnbull^a* and Firas F. Awwadi^b‡

^aCarlson School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Clark University, 950 Main Street, Worcester, MA 01610, USA, and ^bDepartment of Chemistry, Washington State University, Pullman, WA 99164-4630, USA

Present address: Department of Applied Chemistry, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid, Jordan.

Correspondence e-mail: mturnbull@clarku.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 295 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.027 wR factor = 0.072 Data-to-parameter ratio = 13.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e. In the title molecular structure, $[ZnCl_2(C_8H_6N_2)_2]$, two quinoxaline ligands are mono-coordinated to a Zn^{II} atom. With two chloride ions, they form a distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry. The combination of π stacking interactions between inversion-related quinoxaline ligands and the coordination to zinc creates layers parallel to the *bc* plane.

Dichlorodiquinoxalinezinc(II)

Comment

We are interested in the design and synthesis of low-dimensional coordination polymers to study their magnetic properties. We have examined two copper(II) complexes of quinoxaline (quinox) and found that Cu(quinox)Cl₂ and Cu(quinox)Br₂ occur as both structural and magnetic ladders with the rungs of the ladder formed by bridging halide ions and the rails formed by bridging quinoxaline molecules (Lindroos & Lumme, 1990; Landee *et al.*, 2003). A diamagnetic analogue of these materials would be useful for specific heat, neutron scattering and percolation experiments. Hence we attempted the preparation of the corresponding zinc(II) complexes. Reaction of ZnCl₂ with quinoxaline, even at high zinc-to-quinoxaline ratios, gave Zn(quinox)Cl₂, (I).



The title complex (Fig. 1) has distorted tetrahedral coordination geometry with both the Cl1–Zn–Cl2 and the N1– Zn1–N11 angles expanded, nearly equally, to a mean value of 120.52 (3)° (Turnbull *et al.*, 2005). This is in contrast to the corresponding bis-pyridine complex (Steffen & Palenik, 1976) where only the Cl–Zn–Cl [120.9 (1)°] angle is enlarged relative to the ideal value (109.5°) for a tetrahedron, although the Zn–Cl bond lengths are comparable. Even the close structural analogue Zn(quinoline)Cl₂ (Cui *et al.*, 1998) shows expansion of only the Cl–Zn–Cl angle [115.47 (5)°], while the N–Zn–N angle is virtually undistorted [109.3 (1)°]. The two quinoxaline ligands are nearly planar but show a slight folding. The mean deviation from planarity for the N1containing quinoxaline is 0.0132 Å and the angle between the normals to the two component rings is 1.3 (1)°; the comparReceived 5 May 2006 Accepted 8 May 2006

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography All rights reserved

2417 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $R_{\rm int} = 0.020$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 24.5^{\circ}$

3 standard reflections

every 97 reflections

intensity decay: 1%



Figure 1

Molecular structure of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids.



Figure 2

Packing of (I), viewed parallel to the b axis, showing the layer structure.

able values for the N11 ligand are 0.0104 Å and 1.1 (1)°. The two quinoxaline groups are canted 64.8 (1)° with respect to each other.

The bond lengths and angles within the quinoxaline ring systems are the same within experimental error and agree with those values seen in similar mono-coordinated complexes such as $[Cu(quinox)_2(H_2O)_3](ClO_4)_2$ (Lumme *et al.*, 1988) and $[Cu(quinox)_2(C_2N_3)_2]$ (Luo *et al.*, 2004), except that the N1- C2/N11-C12 and C3-N4/C13-N14 bonds are lengthened by roughly 0.010 Å and the C-N-C bond angles are opened correspondingly $(1-2^\circ)$.

The compound packs in the crystal structure such that π stacking is observed between inversion-related quinoxaline ligands, generating layers parallel to the *bc* plane (Fig. 2). The ring overlap occurs between successive nitrogen-containing rings. The interplanar distance between the stacked N1 rings is 3.22 (1) Å and the displacement angle (defined as the angle between the mean plane of the ring and the line connecting the ring centroids) is 18.8 (1)°, while for the stacked N11 rings the distance is 3.30 (1) Å and the displacement angle is 18.5 (1)°.

Experimental

A solution of quinoxaline (1.32 g, 10 mmol) in absolute ethanol (10 ml) was added to a solution of ZnCl_2 (1.37 g, 10 mmol) in absolute ethanol (10 mol), yielding a pale-orange solution. The flask was wrapped in aluminum foil and fitted with a drying tube (CaCl₂). Beige crystals of (I) were collected *via* filtration after two days, washed with cold ethanol and allowed to air-dry (yield 0.41 g, 24%).

Crystal data

 $[ZnCl_2(C_8H_6N_2)_2]$ $V = 813.27 (15) \text{ Å}^3$ $M_{\star} = 396.57$ Z = 2Triclinic, $P\overline{1}$ $D_r = 1.619 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ a = 8.1097 (10) ÅMo $K\alpha$ radiation b = 8.6533 (8) Å $\mu = 1.84 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ c = 12.2541 (12) Å T = 295 (2) K $\alpha = 81.017$ (8) Chunk, colorless $\beta = 86.018$ (8) $0.5 \times 0.4 \times 0.3 \text{ mm}$ $\gamma = 73.294 \ (9)^{\circ}$

Data collection

Bruker P4 diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: ψ scan (SHELXTL; Siemens, 1990) $T_{min} = 0.429, T_{max} = 0.568$ 3340 measured reflections 2707 independent reflections

Refinement

$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0369P)]$
+ 0.1923P]
where $P = (F_0^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.24 \text{ e} \text{ \AA}^{-3}$
$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -0.31 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Zn-N1	2.0836 (19)	Zn-Cl1	2.2237 (8)
Zn-N11	2.077 (2)	Zn-Cl2	2.2274 (7)
N11-Zn-N1	120.41 (8)	N11-Zn-Cl2	103.34 (6)
N11-Zn-Cl1	104.61 (6)	N1-Zn-Cl2	104.84 (6)
N1-Zn-Cl1	104.18 (6)	Cl1-Zn-Cl2	120.63 (3)

H atoms were placed in calculated positions with C–H = 0.93 Å and refined in a riding-model approximation with $U_{iso}(H) = 1.2U_{eq}(C)$.

Data collection: XSCANS (Siemens, 1992); cell refinement: XSCANS; data reduction: SHELXTL (Siemens, 1990); program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: SHELXTL; software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXTL.

BMEM is grateful for a Maurine H. Milburn Summer Science Fellowship.

References

 Cui, Y., Long, C., Chen, W. & Huang, J. (1998). Acta Cryst. C54, 1605–1607.
Landee, C. P., Delcheva, A., Galeriu, C., Pena, G., Turnbull, M. M. & Willett, R. D. (2003). Polyhedron, 22, 2325–2329. Lindroos, S. & Lumme, P. (1990). Acta Cryst. C46, 2039-2041.

- Lumme, P., Lindroos, S. & Lindell, E. (1988). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 144, 75-79.
- Luo, J., Liu, B.-S., Zhou, X.-G., Weng, L.-H., Li, Y.-R. & Wu, H.-X. (2004). Acta Cryst. C60, m520–m522.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97 and SHELXS97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Siemens (1990). SHELXTL. User's Manual. Revision 5.1. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison Wisconsin, USA.
- Siemens (1992). XSCANS. Version 2.0. Siemens Analytical X-ray Instruments Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Steffen, W. L. & Palenik, G. J. (1976). Acta Cryst. B32, 298-300.

Turnbull, M. M., Landee, C. P. & Wells, B. M. (2005). Coord. Chem. Rev. 249, 2567–2576.